

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

In re: Equifax Inc. Customer  
Data Security Breach Litigation

MDL Docket No. 2800  
No. 1:17-md-2800-TWT

This document relates to:  
**CONSUMER ACTIONS**  
*Ward, et al. v. Equifax, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 1:17-cv-03246  
(transferred from D. Md.)

Chief Judge Thomas W. Thrash, Jr.

**CONSUMER LEAD COUNSEL'S OPPOSITION TO  
WARD PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMAND**

Consumer Lead Counsel, on behalf of the named plaintiffs and putative classes represented in the consolidated consumer complaint, oppose the Motion to Remand filed by Plaintiffs Craig Ward, Mary and Todd Warren, and Teri Weatherly (collectively, the “*Ward Plaintiffs*”) (Doc. 459). The Court should deny the motion out of hand or, alternatively, deny the motion as premature until after a ruling on the pending motion to dismiss the consumer claims.

The *Ward* Plaintiffs erroneously contend that because they—and by extension other consumer plaintiffs—lack Article III standing in federal court, their case must be immediately remanded. As set forth in various decisions cited in Consumer Plaintiffs’ oppositions to Equifax’s motions to dismiss, and emphasized

earlier this month by the Eleventh Circuit, the requirements of constitutional standing are readily satisfied here. *See* Doc. 452 at 19-25; Doc. 467 at 7-16, 30-33; Doc. 483 at 1-2; *Muransky v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc.*, Nos. 16-16486 & 16-16783, 2018 WL 4762434 (11th Cir. Oct. 3, 2018).

Standing is alleged in the main consumer consolidated complaint and was not directly challenged by Equifax. *See generally* Doc. 425. That consolidated complaint presents to this Court the very class claims asserted in *Ward*, and scores of other MDL member cases. *See, e.g.*, Doc. 374 at ¶¶ 54-55 (Maryland representative plaintiffs), 296-97 (identifying a Maryland class asserting various common law and statutory claims), 806-40 (pleading the same (and additional) Maryland statutory claims as in *Ward*)).

The authority cited in support of remand illustrates why the motion is, at best, premature. In *Khan v. Children's Nat'l Health Sys.*, 188 F. Supp. 3d 524 (D. Md. 2016), the district court determined that the plaintiffs lacked Article III standing *after adversarial briefing of a motion to dismiss* under Rule 12(b)(6). The court then correctly denied the defendant's request for a dismissal rather than a remand, because the case had originally been filed in state court. *Id.* at 534-35. Under the *Ward* Plaintiffs' own theory, their case could be remanded if, and only if, this Court made a dispositive ruling on standing. But that issue has not been

submitted to the Court for determination, and could not be made based on the *Ward* Plaintiffs' cursory motion. The Court should deny the motion to remand.

Dated: October 22, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kenneth S. Canfield

Kenneth S. Canfield  
Ga Bar No. 107744  
**DOFFERMYRE SHIELDS**  
**CANFIELD & KNOWLES, LLC**  
1355 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 1900  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309  
Tel. 404.881.8900  
kcanfield@dsckd.com

/s/ Amy E. Keller

Amy E. Keller  
**DICELLO LEVITT & CASEY LLC**  
Ten North Dearborn Street  
Eleventh Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
Tel. 312.214.7900  
akeller@dlcfirm.com

/s/ Norman E. Siegel

Norman E. Siegel  
**STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON LLP**  
460 Nichols Road, Suite 200  
Kansas City, Missouri 64112  
Tel. 816.714.7100  
siegel@stuevesiegel.com

***Consumer Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel***

Roy E. Barnes  
Ga. Bar No. 039000  
**BARNES LAW GROUP, LLC**  
31 Atlanta Street  
Marietta, Georgia 30060  
Tel. 770.227.6375  
roy@barneslawgroup.com

David J. Worley  
Ga. Bar No. 776665  
**EVANGELISTA WORLEY LLC**  
8100A Roswell Road Suite 100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30350  
Tel. 404.205.8400  
david@ewlawllc.com

***Consumer Plaintiffs' Co-Liaison  
Counsel***

Andrew N. Friedman  
**COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS &  
TOLL PLLC**  
1100 New York Avenue, NW  
Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel. 202.408.4600  
afriedman@cohenmilstein.com

Eric H. Gibbs  
**GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP**  
505 14th Street  
Suite 1110  
Oakland, California 94612  
Tel. 510.350.9700  
ehg@classlawgroup.com

James Pizzirusso  
**HAUSFELD LLP**  
1700 K Street NW Suite 650  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel. 202.540.7200  
jpizzirusso@hausfeld.com

Ariana J. Tadler  
**MILBERG TADLER PHILLIPS  
GROSSMAN LLP**  
One Penn Plaza  
19th Floor  
New York, New York 10119  
Tel. 212.594.5300  
atadler@milberg.com

John A. Yanchunis  
**MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX  
LITIGATION GROUP**  
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor  
Tampa, Florida 33602  
Tel. 813.223.5505  
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com

William H. Murphy III  
**MURPHY, FALCON & MURPHY**  
1 South Street, 23rd Floor  
Baltimore, Maryland 21224  
Tel. 410.539.6500  
hassan.murphy@murphyfalcon.com

Jason R. Doss  
Ga. Bar No. 227117  
**THE DOSS FIRM, LLC**  
36 Trammell Street, Suite 101  
Marietta, Georgia 30064  
Tel. 770.578.1314  
jasondoss@dossfirm.com

*Consumer Plaintiffs' Steering Committee*

Rodney K. Strong  
**GRiffin & STRONG P.C.**  
235 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 400  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
Tel. 404.584.9777  
rodney@gspclaw.com

*Consumer Plaintiffs' State Court  
Coordinating Counsel*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was filed with this Court via its CM/ECF service, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record this 22nd day of October, 2018.

/s/ Norman E. Siegel